

Faculty Evaluation Plan, Sociology Department

Purpose: To articulate the standards and procedures for the annual evaluation of faculty within the Department of Sociology.

Applies to: Faculty within the Department of Sociology

Introduction

The faculty of the Department of Sociology at the University of Kansas is expected to demonstrate commitment to effective teaching, advising, and mentoring both in the classroom and with individual undergraduate and graduate students; to engage in professional research; and to provide service to the Department, College, and University, to local, national, and international communities, and/or to disciplinary and interdisciplinary organizations, and to work in a collegial and professional manner with Department colleagues, staff, and students.

Statement of Performance Expectations

1. Unit Expectations

Below are the general expectations for performance for Sociology Department faculty in the areas of teaching, research, and service. Departmental allocation of effort follows College and University guidelines which are: 40% teaching/advising, 40% research, 20% service.

Teaching/Advising

Members of the faculty are expected to teach four courses during the academic year. Since the Department has a number of required classes and is expected to support multiple College-wide programs that require commitments on the part of the Department, all faculty must be willing to contribute to these efforts. For each course, faculty members are expected to supply students with a syllabus indicating the requirements for the course, a reading list, assignments, and other pertinent information, including the faculty members' office hours. Faculty members are expected to meet and conduct each class; or to make other arrangements when certain professional duties prevent their attendance. In rare cases, it may be necessary to cancel a particular class meeting, but this should be an infrequent exception (e.g., in the event of illness). Advising and mentoring are also central teaching activities in the Department of Sociology. Every faculty member is expected to maintain regular, posted office hours each week in accordance with established departmental standards. Undergraduate advising and mentoring may include counseling students enrolled in courses taught by the faculty member, students who are making enrollment decisions, and students majoring in Sociology. Teaching may involve supervising students enrolled in internships and/or students who are working on research projects and honors theses.

The Department expects faculty members to serve on Master's and Ph.D. level committees and Ph.D. dissertation committees, and to engage regularly in mentoring and graduate advising. The Department also encourages faculty members to offer independent studies/readings courses when appropriate.

Research

All faculty members are expected to engage in scholarly research and/or to disseminate scholarly findings. Every scholar has a responsibility to transmit findings and conclusions to colleagues and/or to the public at large. Journal articles, books, book chapters, internal and external grants, scholarly presentations, reviews, and workshops are typical means to disseminate scholarly work. The

weighting of these activities is dependent upon a variety of factors such as the nature and quality of the scholarly activity, the goals of the faculty member, and the stage of career development.

Service

All faculty members are expected to carry out service duties necessary to facilitate the efficient operation of the Department of Sociology. Such service may entail membership on standing departmental committees, such as the Graduate Studies Committee, Undergraduate Studies Committee, Personnel Committee, Executive Committee, and Colloquium and Communications Committee. Faculty members may also provide service at the College and University levels, at regional, national, and international professional levels, or in the community.

2. Standards for Acceptable Performance for Faculty Members

We recognize that faculty members in the Department of Sociology have different strengths and goals and that these may vary at different points in their careers. All faculty performance evaluations are made in the context of a three calendar year window of evaluation. Below is a statement of the acceptable (minimum) level of performance that meets faculty academic responsibilities in teaching/advising, research, and service.

Teaching/Advising Minimum

For each course assigned to the faculty member: 1) Meets and conducts all classes unless excused for reasons of illness, emergency, or professional duties; 2) provides a syllabus for each class indicating the requirements for the course, a reading list, assignments, and other pertinent information, including faculty member's office hours; 3) is available for undergraduate and graduate student advising and mentoring; 4) receives at least a 2.5 overall average on items 1-9 on the Student Survey of Teaching form for each course taught (Appendix A); and 5) accumulates at least 80 points on the Annual Activities Report Form (Appendix B) in the area of teaching activity. Any annual evaluation on teaching activity which fails to meet all five of these minimum requirements will signal a significant weakness in performance in that area.

Research Minimum

Demonstrates evidence of scholarly activity such as some combination of: 1) publication or acceptance for publication of journal articles, book chapters, books, or reviews; 2) presentations at professional conferences; 3) submission of grant applications; 4) submission of articles, book chapters, or book manuscripts for publication review. Any annual evaluation on research activity which falls below 40 points will signal a significant weakness in performance in that area.

Service Minimum

Serves regularly on departmental committees and provides service at the College, University, and community, regional, national, or international level. Examples of such service might include, but are not limited to, College or University committees, University Governance, community or professional committees, reviewing professional manuscripts or grant applications, serving as editors or on editorial boards, organizing sessions for professional meetings, serving on committees or holding office in professional associations. Any annual evaluation in service activity which falls below 20 points will signal a significant weakness in performance in that area.

If it is determined during the course of the Annual Faculty Performance Evaluation that the faculty member has failed to meet the minimum acceptable level of performance expectations in any one area of teaching/advising, research, and service (as described above) then the Department Chair and the

individual faculty member will work together to develop a specific plan for improving performance in areas of weakness over the next calendar year. Such a plan will include a review of the performance expectation, a clear statement of the areas needing improvement, identification of the support and resources that will be provided to assist in meeting the expectations, a specific time frame for the evidence of improvement, and possible consequences if performance standards are not met. Three consecutive years of failure in any one area constitutes a sustained failure to meet the minimum acceptable level of performance.

3. Differential Allocation of Effort

The Department of Sociology expects faculty to devote equal attention to teaching and research. When evaluating faculty performance, the department applies the weights of 40 percent for teaching, 40 percent for research, and 20 percent for service to the university, profession and community. These weights are the same for tenured and non-tenured faculty, although the department recognizes that the specific contributions of faculty members to the department's mission will differ depending on career stage.

Changes in the standards 40/40/20 allocation of effort for a set period of time can be initiated by the tenured faculty member or department chair. These changes can be short- or long-term and must correspond to changes in work-load not just evaluation criteria. Reasons for alterations can include short-term items such as funded research or longer term career-stage issues. Faculty members are not allowed to reduce their teaching or research to less than 10 percent on permanent DAE agreements. Departmental needs take precedent over individual needs when making decisions to alter a faculty member's allocation of effort; such redistribution must be consistent with the best interests of the unit. The most likely occasion for consideration of such changes is in discussion between the chair and the individual faculty member following annual performance evaluations, or sooner so that appropriate arrangements may be made at the unit level for the coverage of course offerings. Any individualized changes in faculty allocation of effort will be negotiated with the chair and documented in the faculty member's personnel file.

For short-term DAE agreements (one academic year or less), the DAE is ultimately approved by the unit director or chairperson, with a copy of this endorsement sent to the contact associate dean. For long-term DAE agreements (lasting one year or beyond), approval must also be sought from the appropriate contact dean in the college. All DAEs are reported annually to the College Dean's Office. Agreements for long-term DAEs must be reviewed every three years, although either the faculty member or chairperson/director may request an earlier review in response to changed circumstances or performance. At that time, the agreement may be revised, terminated, or continued.

The selection among these options should be made following the guidelines and process for approval of long-term DAEs contained in the University Policy on [Differential Allocation of Effort \(DAE\)](#).

Annual Evaluation System

1. Overview

Faculty members in the Department of Sociology vote each year to have the annual faculty evaluation conducted by the Department Chair or by an elected salary board. Each faculty member's portfolio is reviewed in the context of his or her work during the past three calendar years. As an outcome of the Faculty Performance Evaluation, faculty members are evaluated in terms of their relative excellence for purposes of merit salary allocation and whether or not they have met minimum acceptable performance standards.

Each Chair/board uses a portfolio of information to conduct the annual review (see section B below). In January of each year, faculty members submit this portfolio for review, which is conducted early in the

spring semester, and feedback on the performance evaluation is provided to the faculty member in writing by the end of March. Non-tenured members of the faculty are given a more extensive annual evaluation during their progress toward tenure review; this progress toward tenure review is conducted by the Department's Personnel Committee in consultation with the Chair. Any disagreements about the evaluation not resolved can be pursued by the faculty member as outlined below in Section E.

2. Portfolio or Annual Report Preparation

NOTE: Faculty are responsible for annually maintaining their PRO record, which is also accessed by administration for reports such as the College snapshot of departmental productivity. PRO provides an annual activity report and faculty are advised to view and update their PRO reports before submission of the faculty member's portfolio to the unit. In classifying your work as major and minor, please bear in mind the definitions in the unit's [Promotion and Tenure Guidelines](#).

The portfolio provided by the faculty to the Chair/board must include, but is not limited to, an updated curriculum vitae and an Annual Activities Report Form (AARF) (Appendix B) which assigns points for activities which reflect the Department's expected distribution of effort of 40-40-20: teaching/advising (up to 120 pts.), research (up to 120 pts.), and service (up to 60 pts.). (For faculty with partial appointments, points are proportionately assigned.) Faculty may submit any additional information that they deem relevant to their review.

3. Portfolio or Annual Report Review and Evaluation

The Chair/board reviews and assesses the activities of each faculty member based on the Annual Activities Report Form and other material submitted in the portfolio. The AARF details the range of responsibilities and activities that each faculty member may participate in and is awarded evaluation points based on the quality, quantity, significance, and impact of the following variables:

Teaching/Advising

The faculty member demonstrates evidence of teaching effectiveness and mentoring through multiple sources of information, including the "Student Survey of Teaching" data and comment sheets, peer reviews of their classroom teaching, but also by winning teaching awards, developing new courses, chairing and serving on graduate and undergraduate student committees, and mentoring students enrolled in independent study, thesis, or dissertation hours.

Research

The faculty member demonstrates evidence of scholarly activity by the publication or acceptance for publication of journal articles, book chapters, books, or reviews, presentations at professional conferences, submission of grant applications, and submission of articles, book chapters, or book manuscripts for publication review. Copies of these publications and scholarly work in progress are also made available to the Department Chair/board.

Service

The faculty member demonstrates evidence of active service by their regular service on departmental committees and provides service at the College, University, and community, regional, national, or international level. Examples of such service might include, but are not limited to, College or University committees, University Governance, community or professional committees, reviewing professional manuscripts or grant applications, serving as editors or on editorial boards, organizing sessions for professional meetings, serving on committees or holding office in professional associations.

4. Annual Evaluation of Feedback Process

The Department Chair/salary board reviews the portfolio material early in the spring semester and writes an evaluation letter which the faculty member receives by the end of March. The letter outlines the evaluation of the faculty member's performance in teaching and mentoring, research, and service, and indicating, depending on the faculty member involved, areas for praise and congratulation, areas that have been the successful focus of faculty development and improvement, any information on progress toward tenure and/or promotion reviews, or areas for continued development and improvement. Faculty members are invited to schedule a meeting with the Department Chair to discuss their evaluation and their plans for the upcoming year. A copy of the written evaluation is maintained in the faculty member's personnel file. Data gathered as part of the annual faculty evaluation process later becomes part of the basis for the allocation of merit salary funds by the Department Chair/board.

5. Post-tenure Review and Integration into the Annual Evaluation Process

This section includes information for faculty members undergoing Post-tenure Review.

- For the Department of Sociology, the post-tenure review will be conducted separately from the annual evaluation, but the post-tenure review file is incorporated into the documentation for the annual evaluation.
- The Post-tenure Review committee will provide a copy of their report to the faculty member, who may submit a written response for inclusion in the post-tenure review file before it is forwarded to the chair for his or her review. If the chair agrees with the report, he or she will indicate that agreement in writing to the faculty member and place a copy in the post-tenure review file. If the chair disagrees with the committee's evaluation, he or she shall explain the reasons for any disagreement in writing, with a copy to the faculty member and the committee.
- Unit procedures for how Post Tenure Review will be integrated into the Annual Evaluation Process are outlined below in #6.

Additional information can be found in the [Unit's Post-tenure Review Policy](#).

6. Outcomes of the Annual Performance Evaluation

The evaluation process of the Department of Sociology, seen in all its aspects, yields multiple outcomes. It acknowledges faculty accomplishments or shortcomings and makes them matters of record. It initiates discussions that influence the planning of both individual career development and unit evolution. It assists in the identification of opportunities for faculty improvement and renewal. It provides annual as well as cumulative data for merit-salary recommendations, sabbatical-leave and grant applications, tenure and promotion decisions, post-tenure review, and reassignments of responsibilities. And it provides documentation that may be used, at extremes, in support of either recognition or dismissal.

These strategies will be used to integrate the annual evaluation process and the following:

- Department and individual goals: When the faculty member meets with the Chair to discuss the most recent evaluation, a part of that discussion will be on the long range goals of the faculty member. Similarly, the Department Chair will discuss with the faculty member the long range goals and directions of the Department. Through this discussion, both the faculty member and the Department Chair can coordinate teaching, advising, research, and service to further both the individual's goals and those of the Department.
- Faculty renewal, and development, promotion & tenure, post-tenure review decisions: Although faculty renewal and development is an ongoing process, the annual evaluation process offers an opportunity for the Department and Chair to assist in faculty renewal and development. Outcomes

of this discussion might include altering the faculty member's allocation of effort profile, or might involve encouraging and assisting a faculty member who is seeking outside funding for research, or might involve arranging for post-graduate specialized instruction in a methodology or content area. Of special importance is the mentoring of untenured faculty and those faculty members building a portfolio for promotion to Full Professor.

- Merit salary decisions: The faculty evaluation process is linked to the allocation of merit salary monies. Data gathered as part of the annual faculty evaluation process and the construction of faculty portfolios will become a part of the basis for the allocation of merit salary funds by the Department Chair/board as described above. Merit points accumulated by the faculty member are entered into a quantitative formula that calculates each person's proportional share of the merit pool allocated to the Department by the university.

Procedures for developing performance improvement plans

If the chair ascertains that a faculty member's performance seems to be failing to meet academic responsibilities, the administrator and the faculty member shall develop a written plan of methods to improve the faculty member's performance. The plan may include appropriate provisions for faculty development, such as campus opportunities for faculty continued renewal and development, or for other appropriate interventions. The chairperson may call upon the University administration for assistance in constructing such a plan, including provision for additional resources, where needed. A faculty member may reject any plan recommended to aid performance levels, but the faculty member must understand that a sustained overall failure to meet academic responsibilities is a basis for dismissal.

Procedures for addressing failure to meet academic responsibilities

Faculty members who wish to appeal their evaluation are encouraged first to discuss their disagreements with the Department Chair. The faculty may submit any additional information to the Department Chair/board for this purpose of review.

If a faculty member has been informed that his/her performance fails to meet academic responsibilities, the faculty member may request a review by a faculty committee designated to hear such matters in the College. The review committee will issue a non-binding recommendation on the appropriateness of this conclusion to the unit administrator. The administrator may change the evaluation after receiving the committee's decision, or may choose not to do so. In any event, the report of the committee will become a permanent part of the faculty member's personnel file within the academic unit and shall be available to the faculty member.

Department chairs shall consult annually with the dean, and the dean shall consult annually with the Provost on the progress of any faculty member who fails within this category of failure to meet academic responsibilities.

Sustained failure to meet performance expectations

Based upon the judgment that there has been a sustained failure to meet academic responsibilities, the Dean may recommend to the Provost that a tenured faculty member be dismissed. In making this determination, the Dean shall consider the nature of the failure to meet academic responsibilities, the reason or reasons for this failure, the number of years that the faculty member has failed to meet academic responsibilities, the level of discernible improvement in the faculty member's performance after being notified of any failure in performance, and the extent to which the faculty member has complied with the terms of any plan developed to improve the faculty member's performance. The Provost will review the case and, if the Provost agrees with the Dean's recommendation, the Provost

will recommend to the Chancellor that the faculty member be dismissed. If the Chancellor agrees and recommends dismissal, this recommendation will go to the Faculty Rights Board.

Should any recommendation to dismiss be brought against a tenured faculty member based exclusively or in part on grounds of sustained failure to meet academic responsibilities, both the report(s) of the review committee(s), the annual written evaluation(s) of the unit administrator concerning the faculty member, any outside evaluations, and any germane written response by the faculty member to the charges shall be made available to the Faculty Rights Board.

7. Faculty Development Initiatives

The Department of Sociology encourages all faculty members to acquire knowledge and skills that allow faculty to grow professionally and to perform at a higher level of proficiency in research, teaching, and service/advising. All faculty members are encouraged to engage in developmental activities appropriate to the stage of their careers.

We assign two senior faculty mentors to each untenured staff member to offer guidance and experience in the areas of teaching, research, and service performance in an informal, confidential, and non-evaluative manner.

Within the Department, there are a variety of opportunities to improve research and teaching such as: sitting in on classes taught by Department colleagues, many of whom are award-winning teachers; consulting and collaborating with colleagues on new or ongoing research projects; presenting research and preliminary findings at the Department's colloquia series for feedback; applying for support from instructional technology funds to purchase media devices or teaching materials; or participating in the editing of academic journals often housed in the Department.

Research Intensive Semesters (RIS): CLAS offers all junior faculty members in good standing a reduced teaching responsibility at some point during the faculty member's pretenure employment. Faculty members will be released from classroom teaching duties for up to one semester, depending upon the relevant departmental teaching expectations, and will be expected to concentrate on research intensive activities. Faculty members are eligible for a research intensive semester assignment up to and including the spring semester before their publication dossiers are sent out to external reviewers in June, with the latest possible Research Intensive Semester (RIS) assignment typically being the second semester of the fifth year. Faculty members in good standing who have stopped their tenure clock remain eligible for a RIS assignment. The actual decision of which year/semester the individual is assigned a research intensive semester will be made in consultation with the department chair. Note that paid leaves and fellowships do not take the place of a RIS. Once the unit director approves the RIS for the junior faculty member, the details concerning the RIS should be confirmed to the faculty member in writing and documented in their personnel file. The unit director also provides a copy of this authorization to the College Dean's Office so that RIS data can be tracked. Faculty members who are granted a RIS are expected to continue to meet their usual duties regarding departmental advising and other service activities.

In an effort to support Associate Professors seeking promotion to Full Professor, the Personnel Committee, in coordination with the Department Chair, encourages a Mid-Career Promotion Planning process. This suggested planning process is to facilitate ongoing, intentional career development with a goal of promotion to Full Professor. Recommended steps:

1. In the fall following promotion to Associate Professor, faculty will be invited to participate in a promotion planning process.

2. Those who wish to participate will work with the Personnel Committee to identify a committee member to coordinate the faculty member's promotion plans and to decide whether to include other faculty members, inside or outside the department, in developing a promotion strategy.

3. As a first step, the faculty member will be encouraged to consider the topics included in the "Reflection and Assessment" (see below). These can serve as the basis for beginning the planning discussion.

4. In the fall of subsequent years, the coordinator will ask to meet with the faculty member to assist in ongoing promotion planning.

Suggested Topics for "Reflection and Assessment"

Articulate Career Goals: What do you want your next several years to look like? What are the specific research, teaching, and service goals you would like to achieve? Be realistic, but don't be modest! Think about a timeline.

Identify the Promotion Criteria in your department/unit and College; attend any Faculty Forum offered by CLAS/KU. Compare your understanding of the promotion criteria with your mentors, members of the Personnel Committee, and department chair.

Conduct a Self-Assessment and identify strengths, barriers, and needs: What works in your professional life (e.g., what you enjoy, feel competent at, would like to pursue), what does not seem to be working and might be keeping you from achieving your goals (e.g., what you do not like doing, takes up too much time, detracts from your goals), and what you need to succeed (e.g., change in teaching or committee assignments, support or feedback on teaching or research).

Design a Mid-Career Development Plan: Sketch a broad outline of how and when you will position yourself to be promoted to Full Professor. It should include specific strategies for how you will address the issues raised in #3.

Meet with Personnel Committee Coordinator: Review and discuss the above with your coordinator. Identify other faculty members, inside or outside the department, whom you might invite to assist you in the planning process.

Implement the Plan: Put your plan into effect. The Personnel Committee Coordinator will ask to meet with you annually in subsequent years to revisit your plans and assist in updating your strategy for promotion.

See [Faculty Development Programs](#) for information about additional faculty development opportunities.

Appendices

Appendix A – Student Evaluation of Teaching

Appendix B – Annual Activities Report Form

Appendix A – Student Evaluation of Teaching

Instrument(s) used in the evaluation of student teaching: the Department of Sociology utilizes the University's "Student Survey of Teaching" form as this instrument. In addition, the department utilizes a "Comment Sheet." The department has voted to use these comments in the evaluation process.

Department of Sociology Comment Sheet

Instructor _____ Course Title _____

Instructors incorporate multiple strategies in designing a course, including readings, assignments, lectures, discussion, in-class exercises, films, and so on. Thinking of the strategies this instructor used:

Which seemed most effective in promoting your learning?

Which seemed least effective in promoting your learning?

Additional Comments:

Appendix B – Student Evaluation of Teaching

Department of Sociology Annual Activities Report Form

Name _____

Points for Evaluation _____

Calendar Year _____

Note: 300 maximum points total.

Category	Points	Activity	Points Received
Research & Scholarship		<i>Note: 120 points maximum. Publication points are for sole or coauthored works. Deduct 20% of amount for each author above 2 authors.</i>	
Publications			
	80	Research monograph or ASR/AJS article published For interdisciplinary or internationalist scholars, for a published article in the top journal in the field	
	50	Article published in second tier sociology, interdisciplinary, or internationalist journal (e.g., Social Forces, Social Problems)	
	50	Article published in top specialty area journal (e.g., Administrative Science Quarterly, Ethnic and Racial Studies)	
	40	Edited or co-edited book published	
	40	Other refereed journal article publication	
	30	Published article in edited volume	
	30	Edited or co-edited special issue of a journal	
	30	Contribution to a national sociology handbook or encyclopedia over 20 manuscript pages	
	30	Published textbook	
	20	Review essay in Contemporary Sociology or top interdisciplinary or international book review journal	
	20	Nationally distributed educational video or film	
	20	Revised edition of monograph	
	20	Book or article award	
	15	Review essays in journals other than Contemporary Sociology or equivalent	
	15	Revised edition or paperback edition of textbook or edited volume	
	10	Article or chapter with student co-author	
	10	(Unrevised) new edition or paperback edition of monograph	
	10	Book review	
	10	A final grant report (or equivalent grant-related outcome) prepared	
	10	Editorship of a book series	
	10	Per new completed chapter of an in-progress book	
	5	Per new completed chapter of an in-progress textbook	
	5	Article reprinted in an edited volume	
	5	Each student supported 1/4-time or less per semester or summer	
<i>Accepted</i>			
	10	Any book or article accepted for publication	
	5	Book proposal accepted by a publisher	

	2	Book review accepted for publication	
<i>Submitted</i>			
	10	Any book submitted for publisher's review	
	5	Any article submitted for publication or revised and resubmitted	
Presentations			
	10	Paper presented at ASA or for top interdisciplinary or international meeting	
	8	Paper presented at other national or international meeting	
	5	Paper presented at regional meeting or paper invited for presentation at a non-local university campus (e.g., colloquium)	
	5	Invited non-course-related presentation on campus (excludes presentations as guest lecturer in another faculty member's course which are included under teaching; professional presentations to the community are included under service)	
	3	Paper presented at a local professional meeting (e.g., Kansas Sociological Society)	
	3	Invited presentation off-campus at local professional-level forum	
Grants			
	60	A major research or training refereed external grant (\$25,000 or over) for first year; 30 points for renewal year	
	40	Any other refereed external grant for first year	
	30	A major grant submitted for external funding (funding \$25,000 or over and/or a major funding agency)	
	20	Renewal year of grant funding	
	15	A minor grant submitted for external funding (funding under \$25,000)	
	10	GRF or other internally funded grant or sabbatical award	
Professional Development			
	2	Participation in professional development activities, including attendance at professional meetings or attending research or technical workshops	
Teaching		<i>Note: 120 points maximum.</i>	
	60	Teaching normal course load and engaging in student advisement (plus 1 point for every 10 students taught during the regular academic year)	
	30	Teaching award	
	20	Developing new course	
	20	Student-related awards (other than teaching)	
	50	Dissertation chair of completed Ph.D. dissertation	
	10	Nomination for teaching or other student-related awards	
	10	Preparation of instructional materials such as a film, handbook, or dictionary (does not include photocopied/edited handbooks)	
	10	Overall teaching score exceeding 4.8 for graduate seminar, 4.5 for upper division course, and 4.0 for lower division course	
	10	Supervision of teaching seminar	

	10	Committee membership of completed Ph.D. dissertation	
	10	Chair of completed M.A. thesis or research paper	
	10	Chair of area exam	
	10	Chair of completed undergraduate Honors thesis	
	10	Chair of Ph.D. Oral Comprehensive Exam	
	5	Teaching required course (undergrad or grad)	
	5	Teaching development activity (i.e., ASA teaching workshop)	
	5	Participation in Ph.D. Oral Comprehensive Exam	
	3	Chair of completed terminal M.A. committee	
	3	Committee membership on completed M.A. thesis or research paper	
	2	Committee membership on completed terminal M.A.	
	2	Committee membership on completed undergrad Honors thesis	
	2	Each student enrolled in independent study, thesis, or dissertation hours course, athletic advisor, mentor, University Scholar (please list only those seen 2 or more times per semester)	
	2	Presentations as guest lecturer in non-sociology faculty member's class	
	2	Participation in area exam or oral defense	
Service		<i>Note: 60 points maximum (120 points for Associate Chair, 90 points for Graduate Director)</i>	
Professional Service			
<i>Office/Committees</i>			
	30	Presidency of a national sociological association (ASA, SSSP, SSSI, etc.), or, of the top interdisciplinary or international journal in your field	
	15	Presidency of a regional sociological, interdisciplinary, internationalist, or specialty association	
	10	Vice presidency, secretary, or treasurer of a national sociological, interdisciplinary, internationalist, or specialty association	
	10	Outside promotion and tenure review	
	10	Review of a another department	
	10	Member of a committee, council, or other office in a national or international sociological or specialty association	
	5	Vice presidency, secretary, treasurer, or state director of a regional sociological, interdisciplinary, internationalist, or specialty association	
	5	Member of a committee, council, or other office in a regional association	
	5	Committee chair for any professional association	
	5	Session organizer or discussant at ASA meetings	
	2	Session organizer or discussant at other professional meetings	
Editorial Activity			

	40	Editorship of AJS or of an ASA journal, or of the top interdisciplinary, internationalist, or specialist journal in your field (subtract 5 points if co-editor)	
	30	Editorship of a second tier sociology or other interdisciplinary, internationalist, or specialist journal (e.g., Social Problems, Social Forces) (subtract 5 points if co-editor)	
	20	Editorship of any other journal (subtract 5 points if co-editor)	
	15	Editorial board of ASR, AJS, or top interdisciplinary or internationalist journal	
	10	Editorial board of other journal	
	10	Review of a scholarly monograph or text for a publisher	
	2	Each journal or professional meeting paper referee activity excluding papers refereed as part of session organizer role or papers reviewed for a journal for which you are on the editorial board	
<i>Grant Referee Activity</i>			
	50	Long review of major external grants	
	2	Short review of minor external grants	
Departmental/ University Service			
	60	Associate Department chair	
	45	Graduate Studies Committee chair	
	25	Undergraduate Studies Committee chair	
	20	Chair of Search Committee when faculty recruitment is conducted	
	15	Chair of Personnel Committee when faculty promotion case is prepared	
	10	Faculty mentor for a promotion and tenure case	
	10	Each journal or professional meeting paper referee activity excluding papers refereed as part of session organizer role or papers reviewed for a journal for which you are on the editorial board	
	10	Serving as co-director or on advisory board of an interdisciplinary or honors program	
	5	Executive Committee membership	
	2	Serving as an associated or affiliated faculty member of an interdisciplinary or honors program	
	2	Each one-time departmental or university service activity	
Professional Community Service			
	2	Each professional presentation, unpaid consultant activity, or other professional activity related to local or state service	

Review, Approval, & Change History:

12/02/2016: Approved by the Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor

11/22/2016: Approved by the Dean of the College of Liberal Arts & Sciences

10/16/2016: Approved by the faculty of the Sociology Department

07/01/2016: New Section 5 on Integration of Post-Tenure Review into the Annual Evaluation Process was added by direction of the Provost Office. New Boilerplate text replaces the current text at the beginning of Section 6:

The evaluation process of the Department of Sociology, seen in all its aspects, yields multiple outcomes. It acknowledges faculty accomplishments or shortcomings and makes them matters of record. It initiates discussions that influence the planning of both individual career development and unit evolution. It assists in the identification of opportunities for faculty improvement and renewal. It provides annual as well as cumulative data for merit-salary recommendations, sabbatical-leave and grant applications, tenure and promotion decisions, post-tenure review, and reassignments of responsibilities. And it provides documentation that may be used, at extremes, in support of either recognition or dismissal.

09/25/2015: Added the following statement to Section III.B. Portfolio or Annual Report Preparation:

NOTE: Faculty are responsible for annually maintaining their PRO record, which is also accessed by administration for reports such as the College snapshot of departmental productivity. PRO provides an annual activity report and faculty are advised to view and update their PRO reports before submission of the faculty member's portfolio to the unit. In classifying your work as major and minor, please bear in mind the definitions in the unit's [Promotion and Tenure Guidelines](#).

05/20/2014: Approved by the Provost

04/15/2014: Approved by the Dean of the College

11/20/2013: Approved by the faculty of the Sociology Department

Approved by:

Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor

Approved on:

December 2, 2016

Effective on:

December 2, 2016

Review cycle:

Every three years

Related Policies:

[Board of Regents requirements \(II.C.8\)](#)

[Article 7 Section 4 of the Faculty Senate Rules and Regulations](#)

[Faculty Evaluation Policy for tenure-track and tenured faculty](#)

[Faculty Code of Rights](#)

Contact Information:

Sociology Department

University of Kansas

Fraser Hall

1415 Jayhawk Blvd. Room 716

Lawrence, KS 66045-7556

socdept@ku.edu

785-864-4111 or 785-864-9400